

The Town of Washington

"THE FIRST WASHINGTON OF ALL"

March 9, 2015

7:00 p.m.

Town Council Meeting

Approved Minutes

Joint Public Hearing of the Planning Commission and the Town Council

- CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Sullivan called the Town Council to order at 7:00 p.m. Council members Gary Schwartz, Jerry Goebel, Patrick O'Connell, Mary Ann Kuhn, Katharine Leggett, and Gary Aichele were present. Town Clerk Laura Dodd was present.

Mayor Sullivan announced that Town Attorney John Bennett would be absent, which Mr. Bennett had discussed at the February Council meeting.____

- JOINT PUBLIC HEARING: Consideration of the Adoption of an Ordinance to Approve the Reduction in Size of a Non-conforming Lot by Way of a Boundary Adjustment Where by Existing TM 20A-1-107 Containing 0.3576 Acres Would Be Increased In Size to 0.5415 Acres, a Conforming Lot, and TM 20A-1-107A Containing .3115 Acres Would be Reduced in Size to 0.127 Acres, Acres, a Reduction in Size to a Non-conforming Lot. These are Commonly Called the "Sunnyside Lots":

Planning Commission Chairman Schwartz called the Planning Commission to Order. Planning Commission members Fred Catlin and Judy deSarno were present. Brad Schneider arrived at 7:07 p.m.

Chairman Schwartz opened up the Public Hearing for the Planning Commission.

Mayor Sullivan opened up the Public Hearing for the Town Council at 7:10 p.m. at which time Council member O'Connell recused himself from the issue before the Council and left the Council table.

Mr. John McCarthy discussed that the plat before the Council and Planning Commission was a Boundary Adjustment of the SunnyView, LLC. lots which consisted of two existing parcels in the Town, one commonly referred to as the Clopton property and the other as the Mercantile property. He discussed that both lots were currently underneath the conforming lot size for the Town of Washington, which was half an acre. He discussed that one lot was sized at .3576 acres and one was similarly sized non-conforming lot and discussed that what was being considered was that the Clopton property would have acreage added to become a conforming lot of 0.5415 acres and the residual of the Mercantile property would be reduced to 0.1276 acres. He discussed that the Town's Subdivision Ordinance and Combined Ordinance definition section creates the issue before them because the definition of a subdivision was defined in the Town of Washington as having a series of exemptions or exceptions to definition of subdivision, one of which was a boundary adjustment but only of boundary adjustment resulting in lots that all met or exceeded the minimum lot size. He discussed that in other words if this had been a boundary adjustment between parcels which each still exceeded the minimum lot size of half an acre this boundary adjustment could have been approved administratively and wouldn't have had to go before the Council and the Planning Commission for a public hearing. He discussed that Mr. Bennett had prepared the

advertisement, which had been published, and it was properly before the Planning Commission for their review and the Town Council for their consideration and approval of the final plat.

Mayor Sullivan asked if Mr. McCarthy and Mr. Bennett had been discussing the matter for some time.

Mr. McCarthy said yes and discussed that while he might not have created an ordinance as Mr. Bennett had done, it was a matter of law that it was an administrative act which if it met certain standards it could be approved but here there were no standards in the subdivision to be approved except that it had to go through the hearing process to be approved. He discussed that he didn't feel that they would be granting any exceptions except that there were two lots below minimum lot size and now there would still be one parcel below minimum lot size and so it could not be done administratively.

Mr. Sullivan read through Mr. Bennett's letter to the Council, dated March 6, 2015, attached, where Mr. Bennett discussed his view that in-fill development in the Town core was contemplated, if not encouraged by the Comp Plan, that the re-division will further such in-fill use, and that the Council had the authority to reduce the size of a non-conforming lot and that the other lot, by increasing in size, became conforming.

Mr. David Konick asked Mr. McCarthy if this was an application for a variance.

Mr. McCarthy discussed that it was not a variance request but yes it was a request for an exception.

Mr. Konick asked where the applicant was and if they were going to make a presentation as he wished to make comments based on the evidence.

Mayor Sullivan that SunnyView was the applicant but since the applicant was not present there would be no presentation.

Mr. McCarthy discussed that there was no standard that the applicant had to make a presentation.

Mr. David Fiske discussed the Clopton property owners were not prepared to make a presentation but that all this had been discussed earlier with the Planning Commission.

Chairman Schwartz discussed that the application had been discussed at the February 23rd work session of the Planning Commission where the application and Mr. McCarthy's recommendation had been reviewed.

Mr. Konick asked if there was going to be a presentation that night.

Mayor Sullivan said no.

Mr. Aichele asked if on this type of process before the Council and Planning Commission was there any requirement made that the property owner be present. He discussed that the matter had been reviewed for many weeks in meetings which had been advertised which was a matter of public record. He discussed that it seemed in inopportune time to go back and review matters that had been heard in hearings before the public. He discussed that the matter tonight was there for resolution and decision and unless there was a requirement that had not been met such as a requirement that the property owner typically be present it would be unfair to the property owner for the Council to consider postponing considering the transaction based on the information in front of them.

Mr. Konick discussed that there was a section in the Subdivision Ordinance that there was a requirement that someone be present to make their case based on evidence based on which the Council could make their decision. He discussed that he didn't care how many work sessions had been held but this was a public hearing before the Council and the Planning Commission and he wanted to know how the Council was going to show that someone had make a case to show that there was a hardship.

Mr. McCarthy discussed that he was not aware of that requirement.

Ms. Cindy Hodges asked if the area behind the Mercantile property would still be assessable to the public.

Mayor Sullivan said absolutely.

Mr. Konick discussed that the Town's Subdivision Ordinance did not match the State Code that allowed the requirement of finding a hardship that justified the exception.

Mayor Sullivan asked Mr. McCarthy if the Town's Ordinance was incomplete.

While waiting for Mr. McCarthy's answer, Mayor Sullivan asked if there were any additional comments and there being none he commented that perhaps there wasn't much public interest in the subject.

Mr. Konick discussed that the Town's Subdivision Ordinance was out of date and that the County had just updated its Ordinances so that they included the right references to State Code, such as Section 15.2, which was the correct title, not Section 15.1 which the Town's Subdivision Ordinance referenced, and that the Town had not done an update.

Mr. McCarthy discussed that there were provisions in the State Code that local governments can adopt provisions that allow exceptions and that there was language that referenced to hardships but the local governing body could make the determination that they could grant exceptions in the Subdivision Ordinance based pretty much on anything. He discussed that the Town did not have that provision in its Ordinance and that the Town's Ordinance had provision that said you were exempt in boundary adjustments except in certain cases and in those certain cases where you were not exempt from Subdivision hearing rules were cases where there were one or more lots that resulted in less than the known minimum lot size. He discussed that the Town's Ordinance allowed for boundary adjustments administratively if the boundary adjustment resulted in conforming lots but since in this case there would be one non-conforming lot there had to be a hearing.

Mr. Aichele discussed that a substantial legal issue had been raised and legal counsel was not present and if ever there was a time the Town would want the Town Attorney present it was when a legal issue had been raised. He discussed that the Council would not want to take any action inconsistent with the Virginia Code or it would be void and property owners having a title then would be subject to question. He suggested postponing the question until the Council could have the opinion of the Town Attorney.

Mr. McCarthy discussed that he felt that the Town was legally able to go forward the provisions referring to Section 15.1 of the Virginia Code versus the Section 15.2 mentioned by Mr. Konick but it would be better to clear the matter up.

Mr. Schneider made a motion to close the Planning Commission Public Hearing and Ms. deSarno seconded and a roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Catlin voted "yes" Mr. Schneider voted "yes"

Ms. deSarno Voted "yes" Chairman Schwartz voted "yes"

And the motion passed 4-0.

Chairman Schwartz made a motion to continue the topic to the April Town Council meeting and Mr. Catlin seconded and a roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Catlin voted "yes" Mr. Schneider voted "yes"

Ms. deSarno Voted "yes" Chairman Schwartz voted "yes"

And the motion passed 4-0.

Mr. Aichele made a motion to continue the Town Council Public Hearing to the April Town Council meeting and Ms. Kuhn seconded and a roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Schwartz voted "yes" Ms. Kuhn voted "yes"

Mayor Sullivan voted "yes" Mr. Goebel voted "yes"

Ms. Leggett voted “yes” Mr. Aichele voted “yes”
And the motion passed 6-0 with Mr. O’Connell recused.

Mr. Aichele made a motion to reconsider the boundary adjustment matter at the next Council meeting and Ms. Leggett seconded and a roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Schwartz voted “yes” Ms. Kuhn voted “yes”
Mayor Sullivan voted “yes” Mr. Goebel voted “yes”
Ms. Leggett voted “yes” Mr. Aichele voted “yes”
And the motion passed 6-0 with Mr. O’Connell recused.

The Planning Commission adjourned at 7:25 p.m. and Mr. O’Connell rejoined the Council table.

Town Council Meeting

- MINUTES: There were no minutes prepared for approval. Mayor Sullivan commented that Ms. Dodd had lost her mother the previous week and that her father was gravely ill and that she had been consumed with family issues.

- REPORTS: Treasury Report: Mr. Goebel reviewed the attached Bank Summary Report, and asked the Council to review the Bills-To-Be Paid List, and the Additional Bills to Be Paid List.

Ms. Kuhn asked about the \$100 Tula’s expenditure.

Mayor Sullivan discussed that he had made a decision to give the Wastewater Treatment Plant operator a \$100 gift certificate to Tula’s in appreciation and recognition of his working under extraordinarily frigid winter conditions.

Ms. Leggett made a motion to accept the Treasurer’s Report and Mayor Sullivan seconded and the motion passed 7-0.

Planning Commission: Chairman Schwartz reported that the Planning Commission had met on February 23rd and had welcomed new members Fred Catlin and Judy deSarno. He discussed that he had been re-elected as Chair, Mr. Schneider had been elected as Vice-Chair, and Mr. Catlin had been elected as Secretary. He discussed that they had reviewed and discussed a short course for land use planning which would be considered later on the agenda.

ARB: Mayor Sullivan discussed that the approved ARB minutes were available and that the ARB would be meeting on the upcoming Wednesday.

Website: Ms. Kuhn thanked Mrs. Joanie Ballard for the tremendous job she was doing on the Town’s Facebook page and discussed that many residents, such as Christa Weeks, were submitting photos. She discussed that the Town had received a written proposal for the website update, which had been approved by Council, and which now just needed a formal signature from the Mayor.

- TOWN ATTORNEY: Mr. Bennett was absent.

- OLD BUSINESS: a). Appointment of an ARB Member: Mayor Sullivan asked that this agenda item be tabled until later in the meeting.

Mr. McCarthy introduced the new Deputy Administrative Assistant for the County, Debbie Keyser, who had most recently worked as County Administrator for Jefferson County, West Virginia.

b). Town Square Update: Mayor Sullivan discussed that he was going to start the Town Square Update discussion and then he would be opening up the subject for public comment. He discussed that there would still be a Public Forum at the end of the meeting. He discussed that this was the first meeting of council since questions and allegations had been raised concerning the legality and propriety of Council decisions made in June and July 2013. He discussed that questions had been raised by some as to whether the appropriation of 20 k and the stub street resolution that was passed could withstand legal scrutiny and that the Council had been asked to ponder the policy question of whether or not it was in the public interest to unwind the questionable transactions.

He discussed that these were serious charges, which the Town Council took seriously. He discussed that as everyone knew, the project and understanding between the inn, the Trinity church and the town was that (1) the inn would pay for the renovation of the Trinity Church parking lot in exchange for codifying access to the lot, (2) the inn would pay for the renovation of the space in front of and along side the post office building, (3) the Town would vacate the stub street between the post office and the Inn shop and (4) the town would contribute 20 k to the project in order to further enhance to Town center for the benefit of the public.

He discussed that with regard to the 20k payment, it was charged that the town violated important separation of church state issues. He discussed that Mr. Bennett had done a preliminary review of the 20 k contribution and his initial response had been delivered to the council and was available to the public. He discussed that Mr. Bennett had concluded that the resolution "should have included specific language excluding expenditures in any way related to church property...for any oversight , I apologize and accept full responsibility".

Mayor Sullivan discussed that at no time did council members, past or present, intend to violate cherished separation of church and state and that to his knowledge the Town's critics had not chosen to accuse the Town of purposely doing so and that nor would the Town have intended to do so.

He discussed that in light of the Town's own concern for doing the right thing ...and on the advice of Mr. Bennett, he suggested that the Council review the circumstances and take a second look at the entire project and related issues....including the issue of the stub street... at the next meeting when John Bennett would be present. He discussed that the purpose would be to make sure that all Council members would be able to participate in discussion in the same room at the same time with the Town lawyer present....which the Council could not do except at a Council meeting. He discussed that tonight, the Council would not as Council make any decisions or take any vote other than on the recommendations from John Bennett.

He commented let me be clear as to my own point of view and discussed that to the extent there were legal issues, the Council would deal with them and that to the extent there were process issues, the Council would deal with them but as to the merits of the overall project the effort to make the center of town more attractive, more accessible, greener and safer for both citizens and visitors was still a very important consideration.

Mr. Konick thanked the Mayor for his previous statement and for acknowledging that he Town would be taking a second look at the subject which was a step in the right direction. He also thanked Mr. Bennett for saying what he had said. He discussed that no

one was accusing the Town Council of intentionally doing anything wrong and that he felt that the Town Council intended to make the appropriation and the town more beautiful and that all had had the best of intentions. He thanked Mayor Sullivan and Ms. Dodd for all of their efforts in providing him with information.

He discussed that if the town was not going to take any action that evening then he would not say much more other than to suggest that if the members of the Council had not read the information that had been provided to him or listened to the tapes that they should do so. He discussed that the Mayor Sullivan had said that everything was bound together and that he, Mr. Konick, felt that undoing on part of it couldn't be done without unraveling the whole thing. He discussed that he felt that there were probably ways to do this in a completely legal and lawful manner and as the Mayor had mentioned it should be done because it was the right thing to do. He discussed that the Mayor had made a statement in June 2013 that there was a precedent for what should be done as the Town had abandoned the Jett Street stub street back in 1998. He discussed that he had reviewed the Jett Street abandonment documents and he suggested that the Council review those documents as what the Council had done in the abandonment of Jett Street was not what was done in the abandonment of Middle Street and that they were two different things. He discussed that he felt that the Town had not followed appropriate procedures in the Middle St. abandonment.

Mayor Sullivan discussed that he was not precisely familiar with the Jett Street abandonment.

Ms. Alma Viator, a county resident, discussed that when she had first read about what the Town was going to do in the paper it had sounded really exciting. She discussed that she hoped that with the Town's backtracking efforts it was going to continue to make the town more beautiful as everyone would all benefit and that she was really looking forward to the Town moving forward.

Mr. Charles Hunter, a town resident, referred to Mayor Sullivan's prior comment about there not being much public interest in the public hearing and discussed that it was a little difficult to speak out about some subjects that came up when you were not an attorney. He discussed that the Mayor had discussed during the Windsor application that there was a balance between the Town Council and the Planning Commission and that the public could trust that there was a due process going on. He discussed that there were times when he felt that he could not trust in that process. He also discussed that he felt that Mr. Gooch had been shut down during the Windsor application. He discussed that renters and property owners were being crowded out and questioned whether they could trust the legal advice that the Town was getting and whether they were being represented on the Town Council.

Mayor Sullivan discussed that comments at that time should be addressing the Town Beautification project but he welcomed Mr. Hunter's comments and discussed that he liked to think that the Town meetings were as open as could be. He discussed that he was disappointed that so few people came to Town meetings, with that night's meeting being an exception. He discussed that he hoped that those who did come found that the discussions were as open as they could be and that he liked a healthy discussion back and forth. He welcomed Mr. Hunter to keep on coming to the meetings.

Mr. Aichele discussed that he was the newest Council member and had not been a member during the meetings that Mr. Hunter had referenced. He discussed that he had shared many of Mr. Hunter's concerns and so when an open seat presented itself he was not a natural candidate as he had somewhat different views than many of the Council members. He discussed that he had been convinced by some Council members and members of the public that perhaps someone newer might provide a point of entry into

the conversation that might make it easier for some of those members of the public who felt closed out and wanted in. He discussed that if anyone in Town felt like they were not being listened to by someone, which might or might not be a correct assumption, he assured them that he would listen to them at anytime, anywhere because that was what he thought they all thought that was what they were here for. He discussed that it was an ongoing concern between the balance of residents who lived, worked, raised their families here, and walked their dog and people like he and his wife, who came here to buy a business. He discussed that he was Mr. Gooch's neighbor and he had discussed with Mr. Gooch that if you wanted to look at a resident look at an innkeeper, such as himself, who had only been out of town ten days in the last year, but he also ran a business.

He discussed that the Town was in the middle of a conversation of how to appropriately listen and hear and reflect concerns of people who live here but might not own a business here. He discussed that he appreciated Mr. Hunter's comments and felt that it had not been easy for him to speak and he encouraged Mr. Hunter to continue to reach out to him and other Council members about his concerns particularly if he had concerns about the matter that had been held over due to the lack of information that evening.

Mr. Hunter discussed that he felt that it was appropriate that the public hearing had been held over and that he couldn't go to all Town meetings nor did he want to. He discussed that the Town keep referring to the Town Beautification project and expressed his concerns regarding the large unannounced parking lot that went from Main Street to Gay Street which was a mess and shouldn't the whole town be beautiful and shouldn't his block be something besides a parking lot. He discussed that there was very little parking in town and that the streets were too narrow to accommodate parking on both sides of the street.

Ms. Demaris Miller, a county resident, discussed that spending public money on beautification was an appropriate use of public money and that businesses in town were perfectly capable of beautifying their own properties and they didn't need public money added to it especially when those businesses were doing quite well. She discussed that she would love to see beautification along the front of the County Court House complex and that she would like to see money spent on public property and the County seat and not private property.

a). Appointment of an ARB Member: Mayor Sullivan announced that the Town had received letters of interest from Raym Crow and Cindy Hodges in being appointed to the ARB vacancy. He asked that the Council consider tabling the appointment until Mr. Crow and Ms. Hodges had more time to speak with the ARB.

Mr. Schwartz made a motion to table the Arb appointment to the April Council meeting and Mr. Goebel seconded and the motion passed 7-0.

• NEW BUSINESS: a). Schedule April Budget Work Session: The Council agreed by consensus to hold a budget work session on Thursday, April 2nd at 10 a.m. which would be open to the public.

b). ESS Water Contract Renewal: Mayor Sullivan discussed that this contract, attached, was for lab testing and involved a small increase over last year's contract of less than \$10 a month.

Ms. Kuhn made a motion to approve the ESS water contract and Ms. Leggett seconded and a roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Schwartz voted “yes”

Mr. Goebel voted “yes”

Mr. O’Connell voted “yes”

Ms. Leggett voted “yes”

Ms. Kuhn voted “yes”

Mr. Aichele voted “yes”

Mayor Sullivan voted “yes”

And the motion passed 7-0.

c). Xerox Contract Renewal: Ms. Dodd reported that the new contract, attached, was for a faster machine that included fax and that it was also cheaper.

Mr. Goebel made a motion to approve the Xerox contract and Ms. Kuhn seconded and a roll call vote was taken:

Mr. Aichele voted “yes”

Ms. Kuhn voted “yes”

Ms. Leggett voted “yes”

Mr. O’Connell voted “yes”

Mr. Goebel voted “yes”

Mr. Schwartz voted “yes”

Mayor Sullivan voted “yes”

And the motion passed 7-0.

d). Michael Chandler Land Use Short Course Proposal: Mr. Schwartz discussed that the Town had received a proposal from Mike Chandler to hold a short non-certified CPEAV planning course for \$1000 for five classes plus \$60 per textbook. He discussed that he expected about ten people from the Town Council and Town committees to attend as well as several people from the County.

Mr. Aichele asked if there was money in the budget for the training and Mr. Goebel said that there was. Mr. Aichele made a motion to approve not to exceed \$1800 for the CPEAV short course and Mayor Sullivan seconded and a roll call vote was taken;

Mr. Aichele voted “yes”

Ms. Kuhn voted “yes”

Ms. Leggett voted “yes”

Mr. O’Connell voted “yes”

Mr. Goebel voted “yes”

Mr. Schwartz voted “yes”

Mayor Sullivan voted “yes”

And the motion passed 7-0.

- PUBLIC FORUM: Mayor Sullivan opened the Public Forum.

Mr. Mike Mahoney, a county resident, encouraged the Town to continue working on beautifying the town in cooperation with non-profits and businesses as he felt that would demonstrate how affective that cooperation could be and it was a way to get things done.

Mr. Rev. Hobson, a town resident, commented on Mr. Konick’s comment that the Town’s Beautification project was all tied together and discussed that the cooperation between different parties did need to be worked out.

Ms. Sheila Gresinger, a county resident, discussed that the Beautification project was commendable and hoped it came to a good conclusion and asked if the sidewalk could be continued down Main Street. She discussed that people might have other suggestions for improving the town.

Ms. Gail Swift, a town resident, discussed that she had been accepted into the Master Naturalist program, and suggested that there could be a Beautification Committee to

continue the beautification of the town and to keep things moving and which could work with the Council.

Ms. Christa Weeks, a town resident, discussed her continuing concerns about speeding in the town and the need for sidewalks, crosswalks, and additional speeding signs.

Mayor Sullivan discussed that the Town needed additional information from VDOT about what had been done and what was going to be done.

Mr. Schwartz thanked Ms. Gresinger and Ms. Weeks for their comments and discussed that he worked on public work projects for Fauquier County and wanted to bring up financials. He discussed that a current project to put in 1700 feet of sidewalk cost \$250,000 and that a former Council member, Claudia Mitchell had pushed for the Town to bury its overhead utility lines and that Marshall had gotten grants for \$2.5 million on a \$4.5 million project to bury 1600 feet of utilities

Mr. Bill Walton, a county resident, discussed that it said a lot that when the controversial subjects died down attendance at Town meetings died down. He discussed that beautification brought in tourists who stayed in town and ate in restaurants and brought in tax revenue and then tax revenue help pay for projects. He discussed that the Town was doing its job in doing beautification and in bring in revenue and they shouldn't get defensive about what they had done except the contract could have been drafted better but at the time people were not thinking church and state but rather how they could work together.

Mr. Mahoney discussed that his earlier point was about resources and that there were things that could only be done if people worked together because it was expensive.

Mr. Walton discussed that this was an example of people working together and it was a beautiful thing to see it was a shame it had come to this level of controversy.

Mr. Aichele discussed that he too didn't want to lose sight of the speeding issue and he didn't want to see anyone get hit, and that while making sure motions were correct was important, it was more important that no visitor, no resident, or children were hit by someone going 60 miles an hour. He discussed that some signs had been resized and replaced and some advice had been given by VDOT. He discussed that the problem of speeding in Washington had not been solved and the conversation with VDOT and the Sheriff needed to be continued.

Mr. Walton asked about using speed cameras.

Mr. Aichele discussed that he was unsure if the Town has the authority to install speed cameras.

Ms. Weeks asked if anyone was looking into grants especially for crosswalks and if so who was looking into that.

Ms. Dodd discussed that VDOT did have Enhancement Grants and that it took several years to get a project done using those funds but the Town could continue conversations with VDOT with public impute.

Mr. Schwartz discussed the accessibility of Town Council members and that there was a cross section of residents and businesses who could approach anyone on the Council including him.

Ms. Swift discussed that the point she was making was that there were volunteers available to help the Town on projects.

Mayor Sullivan discussed that the Town Council were basically volunteers who had recently had a lot of their time consumed by controversial things that kept other things from getting done.

Mr. Aichele discussed that although he didn't have experience with municipal grants he did with other types and the problem arose in looking at the scale of money that the Town would have to pledge, as in hundreds of thousands of dollars, which would not

happen and the Town would have to go after foundation grants. He discussed that he could see a small group, including himself, that could look into those types of grants but they would need to have a clear idea of what the Town's five year plan was. He discussed that identifying the first several steps of the Town over the next five years should be the first priority with Avon Hall being near the top of the list.

Mr. Sullivan discussed that there had just been a discussion about the need for the Town to find money to fix it up and discussed that where the meeting had started up was that the Inn at Little Washington was prepared to spend a significant amount of money to improve the Town, and yes it was to their benefit but it was also to the Town's benefit and it was interesting that there was criticisms about the project and yes there were acknowledged question about process but still this was an extraordinary contribution by a private enterprise to fix the Town up.

Ms. Gresinger discussed that the Town might approach the speeding problems with low rise speed bumps. She also discussed that people could address some of their concerns about town and county issues by taking the Rapp News survey that had just come out.

Mayor Sullivan closed the Public Forum.

-

-

- ADJOURNMENT: At 8:45 p.m. Gary Aichile made a motion to adjourn and Ms. Kuhn seconded and the motion passed 7-0 and the meeting was adjourned.

NEXT SCHEDULED COUNCIL MEETING TO BE HELD ON APRIL 13, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

Laura Dodd
Town Clerk

Attachments:
Treasurer's report
Additional Bills to be Paid
Mr. Bennett March 6, 2015 letter to Council
Bills to be Paid
ESS Water Contract
Xerox Contract